UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
NORTHERN DISTR	ier or each ordan
ALEXANDER LEES,	Case No. <u>19-cv-01603-HSG</u>
Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
v.	DENYING IN PART EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE DISPOSITIVE MOTION Re: Dkt. No. 54
J. MARISCAL, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Defendance.	
Defendants' fifth request for an extension	n of time is GRANTED IN PART AND DENIED
IN PART. Dkt. No. 54. Defendants shall file the	eir dispositive motion by June 24, 2022.
Plaintiff's opposition to the dispositive motion m	nust be filed with the Court and served upon
Defendants no later than 28 days from the date the	ne motion is filed. Defendants shall file a reply
brief in support of their dispositive motion no lat	ter than 14 days after the date the opposition is
filed. The motion shall be deemed submitted as	of the date the reply brief is due. No hearing will
be held on the motion. No further extensions of	
This order terminates Dkt. No. 54.	vino Win de grande.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: 5/11/2022	Harwood & Jell -
	HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.
Ţ	United States District Judge